

Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for JBL Canada will be the rate established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 23.21 percent, the all-others rate established in the original investigation.¹² These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: February 14, 2014.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Background
2. Scope of the Order
3. Duty Absorption

¹² See *Citric Acid Orders*, 74 FR 25703.

4. Fair-Value Comparisons
 - A. Determination of Comparison Method
 - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
 5. Product Comparisons
 6. Constructed Export Price
 7. Normal Value
 - A. Home Market Viability and Selection of Comparison Market
 - B. Level of Trade
 - C. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison-Market Prices
 8. Currency Conversion
- (FR Doc. 2014-03955 Filed 2-21-14; 8:45 am)
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-841, A-570-924, and A-520-803]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From Brazil, the People's Republic of China, and the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, Formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 2013, the Department of Commerce (Department) initiated the sunset reviews of the review of the antidumping duty orders on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip (PET film) from Brazil, the People's Republic of China (PRC), the United Arab Emirates (UAE), and Brazil. The Department determined that it was appropriate to conduct expedited reviews. The Department finds that revocation of these antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates identified in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Effective February 24, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The antidumping duty orders on PET film from Brazil, the PRC, and the UAE were published on November 10, 2008.¹

¹ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Brazil, the People's Republic of China and the United Arab Emirates: Antidumping Duty*

The sunset reviews on the antidumping duty orders on PET film from Brazil, the PRC and the UAE were initiated by the Department on October 1, 2013, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).²

On October 18, 2013, the Department issued a tolling memorandum extending all deadlines by 16 days for the duration of the government shutdown.³

On October 31, 2013, The Department received a notice of intent to participate from DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., and SKC, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Petitioners are manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States and, accordingly, are domestic interested parties pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act.

On November 18, 2013, the Department received an adequate substantive response to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive any responses from the respondent interested parties, *i.e.*, PET Film producers and exporters from PRC, UAE, and Brazil. On the basis of the notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive response filed by the petitioners and the inadequate response from any respondent interested party, the Department decided to conduct expedited sunset reviews of these orders pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C).

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by these orders are all gauges of raw, pretreated or primed PET film, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Also excluded is roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex. Tracing and drafting film is also excluded. Imports of PET film were classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff

Orders and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value for the United Arab Emirates, 73 FR 66595 (November 10, 2008).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 78 FR 60253 (October 1, 2013).

³ See "Memorandum for The Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary of Enforcement and Compliance," dated October 18, 2013 (Tolling Memorandum).

Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum are the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping, and the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail if these orders were revoked. The analysis addresses the impact of the *Final Modification for Reviews*⁴ on these reviews. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the

corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in room 7046 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>. The signed Decision Memorandum and electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping orders of PET film from Brazil, the PRC and UAE would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. Further, we determine that the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail are as follows:

BRAZIL

Exporter/ producer	Margin (percent)
Terphane Inc	44.36
All others	28.72

PRC

Exporter	Producer	Margin (percent)
DuPont Teijin Films China Ltd	DuPont Hongji Foshan Co. Ltd	3.49
DuPont Teijin Films China Ltd	DuPont Teijin Hongji Films Ningbo Co., Ltd	3.49
Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd	Fuwei Films (Shandong) Co., Ltd	3.49
Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co., Ltd	Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co., Ltd	3.49
Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd	Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd	3.49
Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd	Tianjin Wanhua Co., Ltd	3.49
Shanghai Uchem Co., Ltd	Sichuan Dongfang Insulating Material Co., Ltd	3.49
Shanghai Uchem Co., Ltd	Shanghai Xishu Electric Material Co., Ltd	3.49
PRC-wide Entity (including Jiangyin Jinghongda New Material Co., Ltd)		76.72

UAE

Exporter/ producer	Margin (percent)
Flex Middle East FZE (Flex UAE)	4.05
All others	4.05

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 14, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014-03954 Filed 2-21-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-588-845]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that, in the context of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils (SSSSC) from Japan, Hitachi Metals Ltd. (Hitachi Metals) is the successor-in-interest to the merger of Hitachi Metals and Hitachi Cable Ltd. (Hitachi Cable) for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities.

DATES: Effective Date: February 24, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terre Keaton Stefanova or Rebecca Trainor, AD/CVD Operations, Office II,

(February 14, 2012) (*Final Modification for Reviews*).

¹ See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Japan: Initiation of Expedited Changed*

Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1280 or (202) 482-4007, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 13, 2013, Hitachi Metals requested that the Department conduct an expedited changed circumstances review under 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii) to confirm that it is the successor-in-interest to Hitachi Cable for purposes of determining antidumping duty cash deposits and liabilities.

On December 31, 2013, the Department preliminarily determined that Hitachi Metals is the successor-in-interest to the merger of Hitachi Metals and Hitachi Cable.¹ In the *Initiation and Preliminary Results*, we provided all interested parties with an opportunity to comment or request a public hearing regarding this finding. We received no comments or requests for a public hearing from interested parties within

Circumstances Review, and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, 78 FR 79667 (December 31, 2013) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results*).

⁴ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101